

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,820	ı	09/12/2003	Huy D. Phan	03-140 (US01)	7044
23410	7590	07/21/2006		EXAMINER	
Vista IP La			VRETTAKOS, PETER J		
IRVINE, CA		, 9TH FLOOR		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
,				3739	
				DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/660,820	PHAN ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Peter J. Vrettakos	3739						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma od will apply and will expire SIX (6) I tute, cause the application to becom	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this co e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	i Mav 2006.							
	his action is non-final.							
,—	this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
·		,						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application	.,							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the draw	ring(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PT	O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority under 35 U.S.(C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gri priority under 00 0.0.	5. 3 1 10(a) (a) of (i).						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		n Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		·· ——	Stage					
application from the International Bure	<u>-</u>		90					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	•	not received.						
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/6 	_	of Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-5-03</u> .	6) Other:							
S. Patent and Trademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 10/660,820

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

RCE filed 5-15-06. Claims 1-21 are pending. The action is non-final.

Applicant has obviated all prior rejections. Greene (4,913,164) does not disclose a protective element as now claimed in independent claims 1 and 19. The Applicant's claimed invention is best depicted in figures 20-23. New art is presented (Whitebrook et al. (6,529,775)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 7-10,12-15 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Whitebrook et al. (6,529,775).

Whitebrook discloses a catheter with a proximal handle (108/104) with steering mechanism (to permit collapsing of distal end), electrodes (412), a collapsible (figure 4d) circumscribed cage assembly/protective element (see figures 4c-4i) with plastic (col. 9:49) struts (432-434) proximally fixedly secure (448), a distal (see figure 4i) slidable (441,449) coaxial ring element (447), a sleeve (437) all for use to emit energy in a blood

Application/Control Number: 10/660,820 Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

vessel while avoiding emitter contact with the blood vessel or bodily cavity (col. 8:46-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 11, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitebrook et al. (6,529,775).

Claim 6 is merely a reversal of parts in Whitebrook, and claims 16 and 17 are duplication of parts in Whitebrook. MPEP § 2144.04 reads:

VI. REVERSAL, DUPLICATION, OR REARRANGEMENT OF PARTS

A. Reversal of Parts

In re Gazda, 219 F.2d 449, 104 USPQ 400 (CCPA 1955) (Prior art disclosed a clock fixed to the stationary steering wheel column of an automobile while the gear for winding the clock moves with steering wheel; mere reversal of such movement, so the clock moves with wheel, was held to be an obvious expedient.).

B. Duplication of Parts

In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960) (Claims at issue were directed to a water-tight masonry structure wherein a water seal of flexible material fills the joints which form between adjacent pours of concrete. The claimed water seal has a "web" which lies ** in the joint, and a plurality of "ribs" ** >projecting outwardly from each side of the web into one of the adjacent concrete slabs. <The prior art disclosed a flexible water stop for preventing passage of water between masses of concrete in

Art Unit: 3739

the shape of a plus sign (+). Although the reference did not disclose a plurality of ribs, the court held that mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced.).

Claim 11 is an obvious design choice (braided/woven protective element) in light of Whitebrook's struts/spacers (413). Further, the Applicant provides no criticality or unexpected results for choosing a braided or woven protective element instead of the strut/spacer cage assembly. Even further, the intertwined design of the spacers 432-434 in figures 4h-I are also arguably "woven".

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Whayne et al. (6,475,213), King et al. (6,161,047), Abele (5,860,974), Wood (6,676,657).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Vrettakos whose telephone number is 571-272-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pete Vrettakos July 17, 2006 ROY D. GIBSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER